



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,236	03/30/2001	Hai Chi Nguy	Q01-1025-US1/11198.64	6324
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Steven G. Roeder THE LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN G. ROEDER 5560 Chelsea Avenue			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
La Jolla, CA	92037		2652	
			· DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/823,236	NGUY, HAI CHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David D. Davis	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	eptember 2005.	"				
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.	•				
,	· -					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>51-77</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	<u>।</u>				
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>51-77</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	\					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		9.				
Dispositi.4. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	` ','					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	`					
		7.				
Attachment(s)		• 1				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	· — . — . — . — . — . — . — . — . — . —					

1 _ - -

Ų

Application/Control Number: 09/823,236

Art Unit: 2652

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 6, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 51-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa et al (JP 10-069763) in view of Wernick (Magnetic Materials, Bulk). Nakazawa shows in figure 1 a disc drive and discloses that the drive includes a storage disk having a substantially planar disk surface. Figure 1 of Nakazawa also shows a disk housing 1 that retains the storage disk. Housing 1 has a thickness that is measured in a first direction, and includes a shield portion having a thickness measured in a first direction that is substantially similar to the housing thickness. Nakazawa depicts and discloses shielding in a direction substantially perpendicular to the disk surface to at least partially shield the storage disk form an external magnetic field. Nakazawa also discloses at least part of the shield portion positioned substantially parallel to the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/823,236

Art Unit: 2652

disk surface with part of the shield portion having an area that is at least as great as a surface area of the disk surface.

Nakazawa is silent, however, as to the shield portion being formed from a material having a relative permeability that provides an attenuation field of at least 50 db. Nakazawa is also silent as to the shield portion being selectively positioned so that at least part of the exterior surface is devoid of the shield. Nakazawa is additionally silent as to the specific thickness of the shield portion.

Wernick discloses in section 2.4 a Ni-Fe material having a relative permeability at least approximately 100,000 that provides an attenuation field of at least approximately 25 dB.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify the material of the shield of Nakawaza as taught by Wernick. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to specify that the shield material was Ni-Fe, which is well within the purview of a skilled artisan and absent an unobvious result, because Ni-Fe is ideal for magnetic shielding.

It also would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to selectively position the shield of Nakawaza so that at least a part of the exterior surface was devoid of the shield. The rationale is as follows: the purpose of the shield is to reduce the influence of electromagnetic flux for the elements inside and outside the housing. Therefore, the shield need not cover the entire housing to reduce the influence of electromagnetic flux on certain elements. Realizing this, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to remove the shield from a portion of the housing, which

Application/Control Number: 09/823,236

Art Unit: 2652

is well within the purview of a skilled artisan and absent an unobvious result, so as to shield specific elements while reducing weight and cost of the overall device.

It additionally would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify the thickness of the shield portion of Nakawaza. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to specify the thickness of the housing, which is well within the purview of a skilled artisan and absent an unobvious result, to effectively optimize the weight and shield characteristics of the housing.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-7572. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Ÿ.

Page 4

·

Ū

Application/Control Number: 09/823,236

Art Unit: 2652

Page 5

Ų.

7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David D. Davis

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

ddd

dete

7.